<u>ADVANCE QUESTIONS TO BELIZE (FIRST BATCH) – REV.</u>

URUGUAY

- While recognizing the importance of technical and financial assistance to address budget constraints, Uruguay would like to receive information regarding what progress has been made in the establishment of a National Human Rights Institution in accordance with the Paris Principles with the assistance of the Office of the High Commissioner, considering the recommendation made by our country and accepted by Belize during the last UPR Cycle.
- In addition, the Government of Uruguay would also appreciate to know if there has been any advance regarding the Constitution and applicable law reviews, to explicitly prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation or gender identity.

PORTUGAL on behalf of the Group of Friends on NMIRF's

- Could the State-under-review describe its national mechanism or process responsible for coordinating the implementation of accepted UPR recommendations and the monitoring of progress and impact?
- Has the State-under-review established a dedicated 'national mechanism for implementation, reporting and follow-up' (NMIRF) covering UPR recommendations, but also recommendations/observations generated by the UN human rights Treaty Bodies, the Special Procedures and relevant regional mechanisms? If so, could the State-under-review briefly share its experience on creating such mechanism, including challenges faced and lessons learnt, as well as any plans or needs to strengthen the NMIRF in the future?

LIECHTENSTEIN

- Liechtenstein recognizes Belize's commitment to international criminal justice, as evidenced by its ratification of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC).
- What steps has Belize taken to ratify the Kampala amendments to the Rome Statute on the crime of aggression?